

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

-	APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,420			07/19/2001		David H. Gracias	H00498/70151 TJO	7277
	23628	23628 7590 11/22/2004				. EXAMINER	
	WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PO-FEDERAL RESERVE-PLAZA-				C	KIM, PAUL D	
	600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
						3729	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>;</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advison, Action	09/909,420	GRACIAS ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul D Kim	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-42.							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:							
		Paul D Kim Examiner Art Unit: 3729					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants argue that the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention. However, applicants amend the claimed invention including a recitation of "at least one of he components having at least two contact surface areas that are not distinguishable from each other by another component" as recited in lines 3-5 of claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration and search..